UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,327	06/28/2004	Kenichi Sato	1029650-000152	8954	
	7590 09/27/200 INGERSOLL & ROO		EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE		WIEST, PHILIP R			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761		
		·			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/500,327	SATO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner .	Art Unit	
	Phil Wiest	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	iebovos ie letos. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance because applicant's request for reconsideration is based on a proposed amendment, which has not been entered. The amendment to claim 1 changes the scope of the claim, and therefore require a new search...

LOAN H. THANH